

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/573,814	Applicant(s) JELINEK, RAZ	
	Examiner SATYENDRA K. SINGH	Art Unit 1657	

All Participants:

(1) SATYENDRA K. SINGH.

(2) GORDON P. KLANCNIK (ATTORNEY).

Date of Interview: 10 August 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) JON WEBER (SPE, 1657).

(4) _____.

Time: 11:30 AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: see attached copy of the faxed exam. amendment.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1-30

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Satyendra K. Singh/
Examiner, Art Unit 1657

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's attorney of record, Mr. Gordon P. Klancnik (phone- 703-816-4411, direct) was telephonically contacted by the examiner, and provided with (via a fascimile; see attached copy of Exam. Amend. faxed to the attorney on 8/10/2010) the proposed amendments to the pending claims (which were found to be allowable by in an in-house patentability conference with Examiner, Irene Marx, and SPE Jon Weber held on 8/10/2010) for applicant's considerations. Mr. Klancnik called the examiner and wanted to amend the language of process of making claims 7 and 24 to incorporate the amendment "...the step of preparing an aqueous solution comprising the perturbation-sensitive construct from construct precursors, and co-incubating...", which was agreed upon by the examiners (in view of applicant's examples in the instant disclosure on page 22-23, example 1, in particular). Examiner called Mr. Klancnik on 8/13/2010 for confirmation of such amendment and was informed that applicants have agreed to such an amendment as proposed and agreed by the examiners.

/Satyendra K. Singh/
Examiner, AU 1657